## 5.3 Colouring edges

Clearly, every graph G satisfies  $\chi'(G) \geq \Delta(G)$ . For bipartite graphs, we have equality here:

**Proposition 5.3.1.** (König 1916)  
Every bipartite graph G satisfies 
$$
\chi'(G) = \Delta(G)
$$
. [5.4.5]

*Proof.* We apply induction on  $||G||$ . For  $||G|| = 0$  the assertion holds. (1.6.1) Now assume that  $||G|| \geq 1$ , and that the assertion holds for graphs with fewer edges. Let  $\Delta := \Delta(G)$ , pick an edge  $xy \in G$ , and choose a  $\Delta$ -  $\Delta$ ,  $xy$ edge-colouring of  $G - xy$  by the induction hypothesis. Let us refer to the edges coloured  $\alpha$  as  $\alpha$ -edges, etc.  $\alpha$ -edge

In  $G - xy$ , each of x and y is incident with at most  $\Delta - 1$  edges. Hence there are  $\alpha, \beta \in \{1, \ldots, \Delta\}$  such that x is not incident with an  $\alpha, \beta$ α-edge and y is not incident with a β-edge. If  $\alpha = \beta$ , we can colour the edge xy with this colour and are done; so we may assume that  $\alpha \neq \beta$ , and that x is incident with a  $\beta$ -edge.

Let us extend this edge to a maximal walk  $W$  from  $x$  whose edges are coloured  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$  alternately. Since no such walk contains a vertex twice (why not?), W exists and is a path. Moreover, W does not contain y: if it did, it would end in y on an  $\alpha$ -edge (by the choice of  $\beta$ ) and thus have even length, so  $W + xy$  would be an odd cycle in G (cf. Proposition 1.6.1). We now recolour all the edges on W, swapping  $\alpha$  with  $\beta$ . By the choice of  $\alpha$  and the maximality of W, adjacent edges of  $G - xy$  are still coloured differently. We have thus found a  $\Delta$ -edge-colouring of  $G - xy$ in which neither x nor y is incident with a  $\beta$ -edge. Colouring xy with  $\beta$ , we extend this colouring to a  $\Delta$ -edge-colouring of G.

**Theorem 5.3.2.** (Vizing 1964) Every graph <sup>G</sup> satisfies

$$
\Delta(G) \leqslant \chi'(G) \leqslant \Delta(G) + 1.
$$

*Proof*. We prove the second inequality by induction on  $||G||$ . For  $||G|| = 0$   $V, E$ it is trivial. For the induction step let  $G = (V, E)$  with  $\Delta := \Delta(G) > 0$  be  $\Delta$ given, and assume that the assertion holds for graphs with fewer edges. Instead of  $(\Delta + 1)$ -edge-colouring' let us just say 'colouring'. An edge colouring coloured  $\alpha$  will again be called an  $\alpha$ -edge.  $\alpha$ -edge

For every edge  $e \in G$  there exists a colouring of  $G - e$ , by the induction hypothesis. In such a colouring, the edges at a given vertex v use at most  $d(v) \leq \Delta$  colours, so some colour  $\beta \in \{1,\ldots,\Delta+1\}$  is *missing at v.* For any other colour  $\alpha$ , there is a unique maximal walk missing (possibly trivial) starting at v, whose edges are coloured alternately  $\alpha$ and  $\beta$ . This walk is a path; we call it the  $\alpha/\beta$  - path from v.  $\alpha/\beta$  - path

Suppose that G has no colouring. Then the following holds: